## ARTICLE IN PRESS

Materials Today: Proceedings xxx (xxxx) xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Materials Today: Proceedings



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/matpr

## Assessment of <u>chemical</u> constituents of personal care products (PCPs) and their environmental implications: A case of South Africa

Sebatane Sharon Mabitla<sup>a</sup>, Natsayi Chiwaye<sup>b</sup>, Michael O. Daramola<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

Anon Skincare

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Built Environment, and Information Technology, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa <sup>b</sup> School of Chemical and Metallurgical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and the Built Environment, University of the Witwatersrand, Wits, 2050, Johannesburg, South Africa

\* IVER OF DEL JUN

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Personal Care Products WINN BY LON Fragrances Preservative UV filters Triclosan Triclocarban

KEY: JUNIW, ar, JU.

69297919194 ABSTRACT

Personal Care Products (PCPs) contain a wide range of chemicals which cleanse or enhance one's body appearance. These chemicals are continuously released into the environment, and if not properly regulated, they can be persistent, bieaccumulative and toxic in the environment. These chemicals are discharged into the environment through direct discharge from industries, hospitals, urban/municipal/waste, and inefficient wastewater treatment systems. Previously chemicals in PCPs have not been considered harmful, and their effect on water, humans and the environment have not been investigated. However, emerging evidence suggests that some accumulate in body tissues and negatively impact humans and animals, impacting the endocrine systems and the environment since they are continuously being released and may not degenerate easily the environment. The contaminants are thus called emerging pollutants. The aim of this study was to investigate the presence of potentially toxic chemical ingredients of PCPs in South Africa by examining the product labels. A total of 185 PCPs were examined, with 57% of these products classified as skincare, 32% as rinse-off products and 11% as make-up products. Analysis of the database revealed that chemicals which function as fragrances, preservatives and UV-filters were present in 65%, 60% and 58% of the examined PCPs, respectively. Furthermore, the most frequently identified fragrances were limonene (73.33%), linalool (69.17.5%), coumarin (40%), and hexyl cinnamal (38.33%), which are weak allergens. However, alpha-isomethyl ionone and butylphenyl methylpropional are fragrances restricted by the IFRA but were found to be present in over 25 PCPs found in South Africa. This indicates the lack of set rules and regulations around PCP labelling and inconsistency in chemical regulation may promote the distribution of harmful chemicals into the environment.

## waste nate

1. Introduction

The wide environmental occurrence of Personal Care Products (PCPs) is prompted by their daily use in various consumer goods. These products include cosmetics, body washes, perfumes and lotions; which are used to either cleanse or enhance one's body appearance. The great consumption of these products has led to their continuous release into the environment, which consequently threatens ecosystems and human health. The reported health risks associated with chemical ingredients in PCPs (such as ultraviolet (UV) filters, parabens, and phthalates) include potential endocrine disruption and exhibition of estrogenic activity [1,2]. As a result, PCPs are regarded as emerging environmental contaminants; arising from their persistence, exposure potentials, toxicity and environmental accumulation [3,4]. Most of these chemical

blassa's hormone

- Daily products

- Vadely Judy

organic pollutants and such poor removal ratios contribute to the KII4 2211 Jr

compounds enter the environment through direct discharge from industries, hospitals, urban waste and inefficient wastewater treatment

systems [5]. Upon discharge into aquatic systems, some antimicrobial

agents in PCPs such as triclosan have been reported to adsorb onto

sediments, thus reducing their polarity [6]. In South Africa, environ-

mentally toxic chemicals such as triclosan and triclocarban are still

detected in influent and effluent samples of several wastewater treat-

ment plants [7,8], despite their ban by the Food and Drugs Association

(FDA). A recent study conducted by Mhuka and co-workers [9] revealed

that one of the largest wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) in Pretoria

(Daspoort Wastewater Treatment Works) showed an increase in con-

centration of triclosan from the influent to effluent samples. These findings indicate the incapability of the WWTPs to eliminate these

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +27(0)12 420 2475.

Received 22 January 2023; Received in revised form 23 August 2023; Accepted 26 August 2023

2214-7853/Copyright © 2023 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. Selection and peer-review under responsibility of the scientific committee of the International Conference on Engineering for a Sustainable World. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

E-mail address: Michael.Daramola@up.ac.za (M.O. Daramola).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2023.08.318